

# The Theories of Evolution and the Big Bang Are Pervasive

David W. Allans

## But, Have We Been Deceived?

The following is a powerful tool to know what is false and to move away from it toward the truth, as we reason together. These three points are fundamental in our search for truth.

- 1) You cannot prove a theory true; we don't know enough. Only God knows enough. Correlation does not provide validation. This is a common mistake many make. If data agree with an idea, thesis, or theory, they assume it must be true, when in fact it proves nothing. Maybe it gives a person a warm feeling.
- 2) You can prove an idea, thesis, or theory false if you have reliable falsification data. This is very powerful, and we will see that it is very important.
- 3) You can only know something is true if God tells you.

The theory of evolution, as generally held in the world, includes humans being evolved from lower forms of life—contrary to the Biblical account. There is a lot of data for evolution within a kind—often called micro-evolution. This can be seen as God's design in helping any kind adapt to its environment. This is what Darwin found with the finches on the Gallipolis Islands. Yet there is no reliable data for cross-kinds of evolution. What is the truth? If the evolution of humanity is false, this has enormous implications. Most of the world believes it to be true. If false, this is a massive world-wide deceit and has led many to atheism.

Signature in the Cell by Stephen C. Meyer is a great example showing scientifically that behind DNA is Intelligent Design (ID). Meyer says of Darwin's work, "By the close of the Origin it seemed to many that Darwin had dispensed with every conceivable objection to his theory but one." As Meyer further states, "Darwin was puzzled by a pattern in the fossil record that seemed to document the geologically sudden appearance of animal life in a remote period of geologic history.

Darwin gave a copy of the Origin to Louis Agassiz—considered to be the greatest natural scientist of the time—and asked him to read it with an open mind. As Meyer reports, Agassiz "concluded that the fossil record, particularly the record of the explosion of Cambrian animal life, posed an insuperable difficulty for Darwin's theory."<sup>1</sup> In other words, reliable data contradict Darwin's theory – proving the theory false. Yet, the masses have adopted the theory. In 1909, Cambridge University had an enormous hundred-year anniversary celebrating Darwin's birth, but now time has proven the

erroneous nature of his theory and substantiated Agassiz's conclusion.

In 1980, Harvard paleontologist Stephen Jay Gould stated that "neo-Darwinism 'is effectively dead, despite its persistence as textbook orthodoxy,' the weight of critical opinion in biology has grown steadily with each passing year."<sup>2</sup> In 2009, Dr. Stephen C. Meyer was asked to testify before the Texas State Board of Education, who was considering a provision "that would encourage teachers to inform students of both the strengths and weaknesses of scientific theories." He had a binder with 100 peer-reviewed scientific articles in which biologists described significant problems with the traditional theory of evolution.<sup>3</sup>

Michael Egnor shares Michael Behe's irreducible complexity argument, which disproves natural selection--an essential ingredient in Darwinian theory. See <https://evolutionnews.org/2018/10/behes-irreducible-complexity-validated-by-chemistry-nobel/>

The world ignores the above information as Meyer points out in his 2013 book, Darwin's Doubt, The Explosive origin of Animal Life and the Case for Intelligent Design. He goes on to share the alarming fact that several "official scientific organizations . . . routinely assure the public that the contemporary version of Darwinian theory enjoys unequivocal support among qualified scientists and that the evidence of biology overwhelmingly supports the theory" and that "the media dutifully echo these pronouncements."<sup>4</sup> This they do in spite of the data to the contrary.

Meyer shares the alarming information that atheism coming out of Darwinian evolution is "a materialistic worldview in which entities such as God, free will, mind, soul, and purpose [play] no role."<sup>5</sup> Whereas, the biological and paleontological data when properly understood "brings science and faith into real harmony . . . The evidence of purposeful design behind life. . . offers wholeness, and hope."<sup>6</sup>

So, we have creationism versus organic evolution as the origin of life on earth--two main belief patterns. The Darwinian theory explains life without needing God, and those who believe in intelligent design (ID). ID has implicitly in its fabric that God is the author of creation.

Reflective of the last century's deceitful trend, Richard Dawkins wrote his bestselling book, The God Delusion with over two million copies sold. As a counter-attack to Dawkins' book and several others like it, David Berlinski wrote The Devil's Delusion: Atheism and its Scientific Pretensions.

Ben Stein in his excellent movie and documentary Expelled; No Intelligence Allowed, interviews both Dawkins and Berlinski and several others on both sides of the issue: Darwinian evolution versus ID. His documentary is available on-line. In this documentary, Ben Stein shows how atheists often lie about how they mistreat those who are "expelled" from scientific teaching for advocating and/or teaching creationism. The large amount of ID data flies in the faces of the atheists. Atheism among the millennials is increasing significantly. They don't need God; they have Google readily available on their device!

Berlinski's credentials are amazing: He received his PhD in philosophy from Princeton University and was later a postdoctoral fellow in mathematics and molecular biology at Columbia University. He is currently a senior fellow at Discovery Institute's Center for Science and Culture. Dr. Berlinski has authored works on systems analysis, differential topology, theoretical biology, analytic philosophy, and the philosophy of mathematics; additionally, he has written three novels. He has also taught philosophy, mathematics and English at such universities as Stanford, Rutgers, the City University of New York, and the Université de Paris. In addition, he has held research fellowships at the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) in Austria and the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques (IHES) in France.<sup>7, 8</sup>

Berlinski asks these well-articulated and poignant questions:

- Has anyone provided a proof of God's inexistence? Not even close.
  - Has quantum cosmology explained the emergence of the universe, or why it is here? Not even close.
- 
- Have the sciences explained why our universe seems to be fine-tuned to allow for the existence of life? Not even close.
  - Are physicists and biologists willing to believe in anything so long as it is not religious thought? Close enough.
  - Has rationalism in moral thought provided us with an understanding of what is good, what is right, and what is moral? Not close enough.
  - Has secularism in the terrible twentieth century been a force for good? Not even close to being close.
  - Is there a narrow and oppressive orthodoxy of thought and opinion within the sciences? Close enough.
  - Does anything in the sciences or in their philosophy justify the claim that religious belief is irrational? Not even ballpark.

- Is scientific atheism a frivolous exercise in intellectual contempt? Dead on. Berlinski does not dismiss the achievements of Western science. The great physical theories, he observes, are among the treasures of the human race. But they do nothing to answer the questions that religion asks, and they fail to offer a coherent description of the cosmos or the methods by which it might be investigated.

Toward the end of the movie *Expelled: "No Intelligence Allowed"*, when Ben Stein goes directly to Dawkins and interviews him, Stein asks Dawkins what he would do if he met God when he died, and God asked him what he was doing.

Dawkins replied that he would ask why God took such pains to hide Himself. Stein replied, "But if the intelligent-design people are right, God isn't hidden. We may even be able to encounter God through science, if we have the freedom to go there. What could be more intriguing than that?"

Howard Storm was an atheist and then had a near-death-experience (NDE), which he recounts in *My Descent into Death and the Message of Love that Brought Me Back*. This experience totally changed his life. Storm died from complications when his duodenum perforated—opening a hole allowing the hydrochloric acid in his stomach to leak into his abdominal cavity resulting in ever-increasing and searing pain until he passed through the veil of death.

There, his spirit was in indescribable darkness with other spirits tormenting him. Storm says, "There was a profound sense of timelessness . . . A terrible sense of dread was growing within me. This experience was too real . . . it was Hell . . . and it was more horrible than anything I could possibly have imagined." Before Storm had thought that life was every man for himself—survival of the fittest—and he was about building his ego. He thought that when a person died, that was it—nothing, and he found something very different.

Storm heard a voice that sounded like his voice, saying, "Pray to God." He remembered thinking, "Why? What a stupid idea . . . I don't believe in God." He heard the command three times. We often see God "using three times" in sharing something of great import. From his childhood Sunday school experiences, he tried reciting the twenty-third Psalm, the Star Spangled Banner, the Lord's Prayer, the Pledge of Allegiance, God Bless America, and whatever phrases came to mind. The tormenting increased as the evil spirits hated to see him trying to pray. Then again from his childhood, Storm heard his voice singing full of innocence, trust, and hope, "Jesus loves me..." He said, "I desperately needed someone to love me, someone to know I was alive. A ray of hope began to dawn in me, a belief that there really was something greater out there. For the first time in my adult life I wanted it to be true that Jesus loved me. I didn't know how to express what I wanted and needed, but with every bit of my last ounce of strength, I yelled out into the darkness,

‘Jesus, save me.’ I yelled that from the core of my being with all the energy I had left. I have never meant anything more strongly in my life.” And then, Storm met the Savior of mankind and felt of His infinite and profound love.

This loving, luminous being who embraced me, knew me intimately. He knew me better than I knew myself. He was knowledge and wisdom. I knew that He knew everything about me. I was unconditionally loved and accepted. He was King of kings, Lord of lords, Christ Jesus the Savior. I experienced love in such intensity that nothing I had ever known before was comparable. His love was greater than all human love put together... He was indescribably wonderful goodness, power, knowledge, and love.

This experience—being as life-changing as it was—caused Howard to change his career from being a university art instructor and painter to helping people come to know God’s love. He said, “Creating art had been the driving passion in my life,” which he found to be egocentric. His new life had a new focus, “Personal relationships have become my artistic expression.”

Storm became an avid reader of the Bible and found the scriptures to harmonize beautifully with his NDE experience. The evolutionary theory has now led many people to not believe the Bible story of creation and of the flood and that these are fairy-tales—as Dawkins would say—and are out of harmony with science.

For the Christian community, the implications of this disharmony are enormous. If there were no Adam and Eve and the fall of mankind as described in the Bible, then there is no need for an atonement—a Savior to overcome the effects of the fall and the sins of the human family. In other words, organic evolution, as believed by most scientists, implicitly teaches that there is no need for a Christ, and Biblical morality is out of vogue. Satan promulgates this teaching because it justifies sinning, since atheism has no moral ethic. This logically leads to the conclusion that organic evolution is anti-Christ.

Since Darwin published his book on the theory of evolution in 1859, *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favored Races in the Struggle for Life*, atheism has increased significantly. From the 1930s to the 1950s—triggered in large measure by the Scopes Monkey Trial in 1925—a broad consensus developed in which natural selection was believed to be the basic mechanism of evolution and the origin of life. Darwin’s work became the accepted theory of the life sciences, and his theory became the explanation for the diversity of life.

In contrast, all the great prophets throughout the ages have preached the creation, the fall, and the atonement (i.e., the three pillars of eternity) as being fundamental to Heavenly Father’s plan of happiness and eternal life.

As was mentioned earlier, in Ben Stein’s response to Dawkins, “But if the intelligent-design people are right, God isn’t hidden.” And as Steven Meyers furthermore documents in his book *Darwin’s Doubt*, the Cambrian explosion shows several species coming forth without evolutionary predecessors. Such fossil records have been found in Europe, Canada, and south

China – all contrary to Darwinian evolution. Again, Louis Agassiz, the world’s foremost naturalist, pointed this out to Darwin at the time.

Darwin chose to go with the theory rather than the data, and at the end of his life regretted it. There is plenty of data showing evolution within a “kind”—micro-evolution. But there is no data showing evolution from kind to kind. Darwinian evolution has now been shown to be a “false” theory without data to support it! God designed adaptability to our environment for every kind.

As Agassiz told Darwin at the time, he was extrapolating too far in believing that evolution could go across kinds and from a chance beginning. The past half century has seen the fruits of science without God, as society has spiraled down in moral decay. Fortunately, this century is delightfully seeing numerous examples of God being brought back into science. Stephen C. Meyer in his 2009 book *Signature in the Cell: DNA and the Evidence for Intelligent Design*, gives irrefutable probabilities for intelligent design in all DNA. The book *Thousands. . .Not Billions*, by Dr. Don DeYoung (copyright 2005) documents the work of a team of experts showing that radiometric dating yields thousands of years not billions of years for the age of the Earth. Two separate experiments using DNA to estimate the age of Mitochondrial Eve (the first mother of all men) give her origin date to be about 6,000 years ago. You will see in the following footnote the link to good scientific data, which outlines the work described in Dr. DeYoung’s book how integrating God into science brings harmony to science.<sup>9</sup>

A friend introduced me to the spear-head point called the Clovis point and the extremely important information around it. You can find on the internet that the Clovis point is a real stumbling block to archeologists and to other sciences as well. The first Clovis point was discovered in Clovis, New Mexico in 1929, hence, the name.<sup>10</sup> Subsequently, tens of thousands have been found with the maximum density in North America but extending as far south as Venezuela and into SW Europe. We will see significant implications of these findings.

The Clovis point is the most sophisticated and cleverly made point ever found. We are challenged to replicate it today. Many experts now believe that the Clovis people are regarded as the first human inhabitants of the New World — yet with the most sophisticated point technology. We see this is exactly the opposite of evolutionary theory. Furthermore, archeological data indicate that the Clovis- point culture ended cataclysmically as with Noah’s flood. This understanding aligns profoundly with the scriptures and supports the fact that these spear-head points were made by the families descending from Adam and Eve.<sup>11</sup>

It is also fascinating that Clovis points have been found in southern France as well. That fact makes perfect sense because the family of Adam lived before the Earth was divided. A study of the Earth’s plate tectonics shows that both France and Venezuela were attached to North America before the Earth was divided — again aligning beautifully with the Bible. (Genesis 10:25)

It is also interesting to note that they have found no Clovis-points in eastern Asia. Since the Clovis points have been dated pre-flood and are the oldest points, the dearth of Clovis points in East Asia directly contradicts the first man coming up out of Africa and up across the Bering Strait as is promoted by evolutionists. What has been found fully harmonizes with the scriptures.

To my knowledge, my friend and colleague, Dean Sessions, is the first one to uncover the true origin of the Clovis culture people as the family of Adam and Eve over their 1,600-year history until

At the University of Washington, Dr. J.Y. Chen lectured on the China [fossil](#) find. One of the professors attending the lecture asked him afterwards, wondering if in China they could question evolution. He responded, "In China we can criticize Darwin, but not the government. In America, you can criticize the government, but not Darwin."

Even though there is no data to support the atheist's view that there is no God, yet the effects of organic evolution are evident in that a recent survey showed that 93% of the leading scientists in America are atheists or non-believers.

Sessions show the family of Adam and Eve were destroyed in the deluge. He, like Newton and Agassiz, believes in the Bible and the words of the prophets, and using those as his basis of truth sorted out this very fundamental information. In contrast, since the first Clovis point was discovered in 1929, archeologists, as well as other scientists, have not been able to make sense of the Clovis culture because of their belief in evolution. I use this knowledge as a beautiful example of how when true science and true religion come together, then the TRUTH is revealed and its harmony resonates with our souls.

Dean Sessions has also done some fascinating experiments simulating the conditions of the flood using an autoclave. He has demonstrated that fossils, rocks, and crystals — in the conditions of the flood — can be grown in months and don't need millions of years to form. He took us to the biggest rock show in the world held in Tucson, Arizona during February of 2013 and shared with us how many of these were formed in the flood. I purchased an enhydro quartz crystal, which means it has one or more bubbles of water in it, that was formed during the flood.

Geologists have a hard time explaining how these are formed, but my friend's model fits very nicely the scriptural account and aligns with his experimentation. Enhydro quartz crystals can be found across the globe — an enigma to geologists.

Sessions also demonstrates that dinosaurs died during the flood. I have a nice picture of a bone as a sample from eight humans buried in the same stratum with dinosaurs found in Montrose, Colorado. I have another scientist friend who shared with me a microscope slide of soft dinosaur tissue that they found. I took a picture of it and have it in my cell phone.

In other words, the conditions of the flood were like an autoclave for pressure, temperature, and minerals for fossilization to occur in months — not millions of years — as "In the six hundredth year of Noah's life, in the second month, the seventeenth day of the month, the same day were all the fountains of the great deep broken up, and the windows of heaven were opened." (Genesis 7:11) All the ancient historical records tell of the flood covering the highest mountains, "Fifteen cubits upward did the waters prevail..." (Genesis 7:20) We see that the Bible account fit the data.

What does the evolutionary camp do with these data? Ignore the data and stick with the theory in order to justify their evolutionary model. It is also interesting that in contrast to the last century where science and religion were at odds, several ancient civilizations had science and religion fully integrated and had technologies and construction concepts that baffle our best experts today. Watch the DVD, *Lost Civilizations of North America*. In this DVD you will learn of ancient cities that existed in North America that were larger than London or Rome and with amazing advanced technologies.

The pervasiveness of the theory of organic evolution was manifest to me when I learned that my own alma-mater, BYU, a devout religious institution put up a display in their Bean Museum depicting the evolution of man. BYU-Studies, a periodical written by scholars, are planning a special issue on "Evolution and Faith."

I submitted an article proving the theory of organic evolution to be false, and it was rejected. Faith is defined as, "...ye hope for things which are not seen which are true." (Alma 32:21) Since Darwinian evolution is false, you cannot have faith in it!

It is an exciting time as we see true science and the scriptural account coming into harmony — witnessing to all who have hearts to feel, eyes to see, and ears to hear the beautiful truths of God's love for each of us. Dr. Walt Brown, Ph.D. does a great job in his book, *In the Beginning; Compelling Evidence for Creation and the Flood*, Dr. C. Stuart Patterson says of his book, "Walt Brown's book is the rarest of species: it is the most complete reference work I have encountered on the scientific aspects of the multifaceted subject of origins."

### **Emeritus Professor of Philosophy Chauncey C. Riddle's Logical Argument Against Evolution**

Professor Riddle, who wrote the Foreword for my book, *It's About Time*, was kind enough to share his perspective on the conflict resolution between science and religion, so called. I felt it to be of extreme value and include it here:

"Science is the art of creating descriptions which correctly characterize the natural world in which we live. It has five levels, four of which are assertions. To describe these levels we will use an example prominent in this book, that of organic evolution.

"The first level is phenomena, the sensations we humans have as we relate to the world around us. Example: We see lots of plants and animals in the world. (No assertion necessary here, but all things which are true science must begin with phenomena.) The second level is facts, assertions we invent to interpret the phenomena we perceive.

Example: We see a fossil and call it a "trilobite," an ancient marine arthropod. This fact is a combination of what we see as a phenomenon and our general understanding of the world and what that world contains. The third level is laws, assertions we invent which are reliable generalizations about the facts we invent and which are based upon masses of facts.

Example: Trilobites evolved slowly from the Early Cambrian era to the Permian era when they



became extinct. This law is well grounded in observation and no one challenges it. The fourth level is theories which we invent to explain the laws we invent. Theories are our imagination at work, proposing the existence of things which are unobservable. Example: The theory of organic evolution: Trilobites evolved from more simple forms of life, as did everything else that presently lives as a plant or animal, and life originally came about spontaneously. It is important to realize that there is absolutely no physical evidence for this theoretical explanation of how trilobites came to be. It is only a hope, wishful thinking on the part of some scientists. The fifth level is postulates we invent to regulate our theory construction.

One such postulate is the idea of causation: every event must have a cause sufficient to the result. Another postulate is that of least action: The simplest explanation that suffices for sufficient cause is preferred. Another possible postulate is that of naturalism: All that exists is the matter that physics describes, and there is no spiritual realm nor God nor spirit in man, and every human ceases to exist at mortal death. Perhaps you can see that it is in the postulates that govern scientific theory construction that the fundamentals of any scientific outlook are established.

“Organic evolution is an unproved, unprovable theory based on the postulate of naturalism. Its only real support is the opinion of those scientists who do not want a God or spiritual existence as part of their thinking. The unfortunate part is that the many solid physical evidences for the law of evolution are dishonestly used by some to attempt to prove the theory that all life descended from primitive forms created spontaneously by natural forces. The law in no way proves that theory. But some want to believe that theory anyway, so they misappropriate the evidence for the law and apply it to the theory, and most people are unaware of that dishonesty.

And there are some people of good will who are good Christians who do support the theory of organic evolution. Apparently they are able to do so because they do not have in their minds a clear distinction between law and theory in science and particularly between the law of evolution and the theory of evolution. If they could be brought to see better the structure of science, especially the importance of the usually unstated original postulates behind the theory of organic evolution, they would be wiser about the matter. Unfortunately, the intellectual structure of science is seldom taught or mentioned. Law and theory are usually run together. And thus many are confused without knowing it. That confusion is carefully conserved by some who do understand the difference in order to win converts to the cause of the theory of organic evolution.

“The bottom line is that the conflicts between science and religion are not necessary but are invoked by those persons who do not want to have a God or immortality. The conflicts arise from the unproved and unprovable postulates which individual scientists adopt to create their theories. Then they pretend that science demonstrates that there is no God nor spirit, nor immortality, nor any judgment of human conduct by a higher being. Therefore, in their thinking, there are no moral standards and anything is permissible for “enlightened” persons (persons who share the premise that there is no God and no right and wrong). Thus the same people who believe in the theory of organic evolution also tend to believe in abortion, sexual promiscuity, euthanasia, that might makes right, that lying is justified, and that ‘religion’ is a mark of a weak mind.

“It so happens that actually every normal human being has a “religion.” Each person’s religion has three parts: First, beliefs about the universe and who and what is in it (variously called “theology”, or “metaphysics”, or “reality,” depending on with whom you are speaking). Second, standards of conduct, what should and should not be done (variously called “ethics”, or “morals”, or “wisdom.”) Third, rituals: things people do to reinforce or amend their religious beliefs and practices. Some rituals are prayer, conferring with others to compare notes, and meditation.

“The theory of organic evolution is a personally chosen theology, the metaphysics for some persons, part of their personal religion. Those same persons tend to believe there is no right or wrong and no such thing as sin. One of their rituals is to get together with persons who think as they do and proclaim how enlightened they are and how unenlightened are the people who believe in traditional religions such as Christianity.

But not every writer sees a conflict between science and religion. They do not see one because all such conflicts are man-made, quite unnecessary to good science and good religion. If one avoids the common approach in our modern times to blindly worship science and current scientific hypotheses as “the truth” and to denigrate religion as being associated only with backward, non- intelligent teachings of some church, then there need be no conflict.”

### **The Big Bang Theory**

My good friend, Dr. Joseph Pappenfuss—retired professor of biology, shared with me the following interesting insight: “...that the cosmologist Fred Hoyle actually gave the name of Big Bang to the theory which he considered pseudoscience. He favored the steady-state universe model. This was in March 1949.”

I call it the “Big Bank” theory! As tons of money are spent with many scientists trying to figure out how the universe came into being without God. So the “Big Bang Theory” is basically atheistic. Stephen Hawking said, “We don’t need God.” Hawking was the 17th holder of the famous “Newton’s or Lucasian chair,” which he held for 30 years. Sir Michael James Lighthill, the previous holder of the chair, said of Hawking’s cosmology, “To me, it is not quite science, but more like creation myth.”

I could not have done my master’s thesis without the brilliant book of Sir Michael James Lighthill, *FOURIER ANALYSIS & GENERALIZED FUNCTIONS*. My thesis gave birth to the Allan variance. If you Google “Allan variance,” you will get nearly a hundred thousand hits. The square-root of the Allan variance is ADEV, and Googling it gave me 3.7 million hits. I am grateful that my work has given me the opportunity to share the gospel across the globe.

Academia.edu/mentions shares with me occasions when my publications are utilized, and I get notices of about a dozen a day. Because I was willing to do things His way rather than mine, I believe the Lord gave me the inspiration for my professional work and I give Him the credit. He knew I would share His glorious message, and I rejoice in the same.

The “Electric Universe” community now has a large amount of data showing that the big bang theory is not correct. I was privileged to meet Dr. Robitaille at one of their conferences. He has data proving the “Big Bang” theory false. P. M. Robitaille Ph.D. has some excellent videos on YouTube. The following link shares the falsification proof that the big bang theory is false: <https://youtu.be/p8IKQMEYYLw> He is a devout Christian. This proof has far reaching ramifications. You only need one set of falsification data to prove a theory false.

Satan is the author of confusion, disinformation, contention, evil, and fear—the opposite of hope and the spirit of enlightenment. With the hope of removing some confusion, contention, and disinformation, I have studied the two main theories for the Book of Mormon geography: the Heartland model and the Mesoamerica model. In the following link, I prove the Mesoamerica model false. In the same article, I share quotes from prophets validating the Heartland model: <https://itsabouttimebook.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/does-it-matter-where-the-book-of-mormon-took-place.pdf> .

Many say the geography doesn’t matter, but the Mesoamerica model has led to a lot of confusion, contention, and disinformation. I hope my article ameliorates that. The Lord has revealed that where the Book of Mormon took place matters, because America is the “promised land” spoken of in that book with the extremely important stipulation:

- ? Ether 2:10 ...behold, this is a land which is choice above all other lands; wherefore he that doth possess it shall serve God or shall be swept off; for it is the everlasting decree of God. And it is not until the fulness of iniquity among the children of the land, that they are swept off.
- ? 11 And this cometh unto you, O ye Gentiles, that ye may know the decrees of God—that ye may repent, and not continue in your iniquities until the fulness come, that ye may not bring down the fulness of the wrath of God upon you as the inhabitants of the land have hitherto done.
- ? 12 Behold, this is a choice land, and whatsoever nation shall possess it shall be free from bondage, and from captivity, and from all other nations under heaven, if they will but serve the God of the land, who is Jesus Christ,

## CONCLUSION

It is interesting to see the data support the Bible and not Darwin’s theory or the Big Bang theory. The implications, as mentioned, are enormous. Most of the people of the world have been deceived and countless numbers have been drawn into atheism. The master deceiver has used organic evolution and many other false theories to lead people to atheism, confusion and bringing about the moral decay in the world.

I know many wonderful people who have been drawn into atheism because of these false traditions and theories that permeate our world society. My hope is that the evidence here will help them return to faith in the Lord and the blessings that come as we keep His commandments. Knowing of His infinite love for each of us motivates us to embrace Biblical ethics.

My hope is that the above information will help as many as desire to come to know the author of all Truth. He is the way, and the truth, and the life. (John 14:6) I know the blessings of coming to know the Father and the Son are enormous, and beyond the veil the blessings are far above our ability to comprehend because of His infinite love for all His children.

David W. Allan

#### REFERENCES:

- 1) Steven Meyer, Darwin's Doubt p. 6
- 2) Gould, Is a New and General Theory of Evolution Emerging? p. 120
- 3) Steven Meyer, Darwin's Doubt; The Explosive Origin of Animal Life and the Case for Intelligent Design, p. xi
- 4) Steven Meyer, Darwin's Doubt; The Explosive Origin of Animal Life and the Case for Intelligent Design, p x, xi
- 5) Steven Meyer, Darwin's Doubt; The Explosive Origin of Animal Life and the Case for Intelligent Design, p 409
- 6) Steven Meyer, Darwin's Doubt; The Explosive Origin of Animal Life and the Case for Intelligent Design, p 412
- 7) Recent articles by Dr. Berlinski have been featured in Commentary, Forbes ASAP, and the Boston Review. Two of his articles, On the Origins of the Mind ( November 2004) and What Brings a World into Being ( March 2001) have been anthologized in The Best American Science Writing 2005, edited by Alan Lightman (Harper Perennial), and The Best American Science Writing 2002, edited by Jesse Cohen, respectively.
- 8) Dr. Berlinski is author of numerous books, including A Tour of the Calculus ( Pantheon 1996), The Advent of the Algorithm (2000, Harcourt Brace), Newton's Gift ( The Free Press 2000), The Secrets of the Vaulted Sky (Harcourt, October 2003), A Short History of Mathematics for the Modern Library series at Random House (2004), and The Devil's Delusion: Atheism and Its Scientific Pretensions (Crown Forum, 2008).
- 9) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AMy2IUeXJRI>

10) One can find some useful information at the following link: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clovis\\_point](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clovis_point).

11) See Doctrine and Covenants 29:41 and 116:1

- ? 12 Behold, this is a choice land, and whatsoever nation shall possess it shall be free from bondage, and from captivity, and from all other nations under heaven, if they will but serve the God of the land, who is Jesus Christ,